



## **Medical Ethics Education: Bridging the Gap Between Theory and Practice**

Abul Bashar<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammad Saiful Hasan<sup>2</sup>, Mostofa Zahid Kamal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Community Medicine, Netrokona Medical College, Netrokona

<sup>2</sup> Principal and Professor, Netrokona Medical College, Netrokona

<sup>3</sup> Department of Surgery, Netrokona Medical College, Netrokona

Medical ethics plays a critical role in the healthcare field, providing healthcare professionals with a framework for making morally sound decisions in complex, high-stakes situations. While ethical theory provides essential guidance, the application of these principles in real-world scenarios can be challenging. Bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application is crucial in medical education, ensuring that healthcare providers not only understand ethical principles but also know how to apply them in clinical practice. This editorial explores the importance of medical ethics education, the challenges in integrating theory with practice, and strategies for enhancing the teaching and application of medical ethics in healthcare.

**Keywords:** Medical Ethics Education, Ethical Decision-Making, Healthcare Professional Development.



review process such that the journal does not disclose the identity of the reviewer(s) to the author(s) and does not disclose the identity of the author(s) to the reviewer(s).

Received: August 15, 2024 Accepted: November 12, 2024 Published: December 31, 2024

Peer Review Process: The Journal abides by a double-blind peer

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

Medical ethics education provides healthcare professionals with the foundational knowledge of ethical principles such as autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice. These principles form the backbone of ethical decision-making in healthcare settings. Autonomy refers to a patient's right to make informed decisions about their healthcare, beneficence emphasizes the healthcare provider's duty to act in the best interest of the patient, non-maleficence stresses the importance of doing no harm, and justice ensures fairness in the distribution of healthcare resources.1 While these principles are widely recognized and accepted, applying them in practice is often complicated. In real-world healthcare settings, situations arise where ethical principles conflict, and healthcare professionals must navigate these conflicts with careful consideration. For example, respecting a patient's autonomy may conflict with the healthcare provider's judgment about what is in the patient's best interest. Ethical education must not only convey the importance of these principles but also prepare healthcare providers to manage such ethical dilemmas in diverse clinical environments.<sup>2</sup>

Several challenges hinder the integration of theoretical ethics into practical decision-making in medical education and practice. While ethical principles are crucial in guiding decision-making, they can often appear abstract when taught in the classroom. For example, autonomy is an essential principle in medical ethics, yet respecting autonomy can be difficult when a patient's decision contradicts the healthcare provider's professional judgment or when the patient lacks the capacity to make informed decisions. Similarly, balancing beneficence and nonmaleficence can be complex when a treatment that benefits a patient may also cause harm. In such situations, ethical principles are not clear-cut and require nuanced interpretation.<sup>3</sup> Although medical ethics is a core component of medical education, many students and trainees have limited exposure to real-world ethical dilemmas until they begin practicing. While ethics courses often include theoretical discussions and case studies, these rarely replicate the pressures and complexities of clinical practice. As a result, healthcare professionals may be illprepared to address ethical dilemmas when they arise in practice, leading to moral distress or suboptimal decisionmaking.4

The quality and scope of medical ethics education vary widely across institutions. While some medical schools provide extensive training in ethics through dedicated courses and ethics rounds, others treat ethics as a peripheral subject with limited classroom time. This inconsistency means that healthcare professionals may have differing levels of ethical competence, leading to variability in how ethical dilemmas are addressed in practice.<sup>5</sup> Ethical decision-making in healthcare is heavily influenced by cultural, societal, and personal factors. For instance, while many Western healthcare systems prioritize individual autonomy, some cultures place a higher value on familial or community decision-making. This cultural variation can lead to ethical dilemmas when healthcare professionals' values clash with those of the patient or their family (Saha et al., 2008). Medical ethics education must include training on cultural competence to help healthcare professionals navigate these challenges effectively.<sup>6</sup> Healthcare professionals often work under significant time pressures and heavy workloads, which can hinder their ability to engage in ethical reflection. In busy clinical settings, the urgency of patient care may lead providers to prioritize technical tasks over ethical considerations. These pressures can cause healthcare professionals to overlook or neglect the ethical dimensions of their decisions, potentially leading to moral distress.7

To effectively bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, medical ethics education must incorporate strategies that promote critical thinking, practical experience, and cultural competence. One of the most effective methods for bridging the theorypractice gap is through case-based learning. Case studies allow students to examine real-world ethical dilemmas and engage in discussions about possible courses of action. This method helps students develop critical thinking skills and provides them with opportunities to practice ethical decision-making in a controlled environment.<sup>8</sup> Ethical simulations, such as role-playing exercises, offer an interactive approach to learning where students can take on various roles and make decisions in real-time scenarios, promoting a deeper understanding of how ethical principles are applied in practice.9 Ethics rounds, which involve discussions among a team of healthcare professionals, ethicists, and legal experts, are a valuable tool for enhancing medical ethics education. These rounds allow students and professionals to collaboratively analyze ethical dilemmas and consider different perspectives. Interdisciplinary ethics rounds help students understand the complexity of ethical decision-making in clinical practice and develop the skills to work effectively as part of a team.<sup>10</sup> Mentorship is essential in the development of ethical competence. Experienced healthcare professionals who serve as ethical role models can guide students and trainees in applying ethical principles to real-world situations. Through mentorship, students can observe how experienced practitioners navigate ethical dilemmas and receive feedback on their own decision-making. Mentorship also provides an opportunity for students to reflect on their ethical beliefs and values and to receive guidance on how to align their actions with ethical principles in practice.

Cultural competence is an essential component of medical ethics education. Healthcare professionals must be equipped to understand and respect the cultural beliefs, values, and preferences of their patients. Cultural competence training helps students recognize the influence of culture on healthcare decisions and prepares them to navigate ethical dilemmas that arise from cultural differences. This training is particularly important in diverse healthcare settings, where patients may have differing expectations of care based on their cultural backgrounds.<sup>11,12</sup>

## REFERENCES

- Childress JF, Beauchamp TL. Common morality principles in biomedical ethics: responses to critics. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics. 2022 Apr;31(2):164-76.
- 2. Morris T. Can there be a philosophy of medicine without a patient? Should there be? Perspectives in Biology and Medicine. 2021;64(2):281-93.
- 3. Rogers WA, Draper H, Carter SM. Evaluation of artificial intelligence clinical applications: Detailed case analyses show value of healthcare ethics approach in identifying patient care issues. Bioethics. 2021 Sep;35(7):623-33.
- Booth AT, Christian BJ. Surgical Intensive Care Unit Nurses' Coping with Moral Distress and Moral Residue: A Descriptive Qualitative Approach. Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing. 2024 Nov 1;43(6):298-305.
- Park SK, Chen AM, Daugherty KK, Frankart LM, Koenig RA. A scoping review of the hidden curriculum in pharmacy education. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education. 2023 Apr 1;87(3): ajpe8999.
- De-María B, Topa G, López-González MA. Cultural competence interventions in european healthcare: A scoping review. InHealthcare 2024 May 17 (Vol. 12, No. 10, p. 1040). MDPI.
- Ansari N, Warner E, Taylor-Swanson L, Wilson R, Van Epps J, Iacob E, Supiano K. Nurses navigating moral distress, resilience, and team dynamics: A literature review. Nursing Ethics. 2024 Sep 27:09697330241277992.
- Macpherson I, Arregui M, Marchini L, Giner-Tarrida L. Analysis of moral reasoning in dentistry students through case-based learning (CBL). Journal of dental education. 2022 Apr;86(4):416-24.
- 9. Varkey B. Principles of clinical ethics and their application to practice. Medical Principles and Practice. 2021 Jun 4;30(1):17-28.
- 10. Friedman LD, Jones T, editors. Routledge Handbook of Health and Media. Routledge; 2022 Aug 30.
- 11. Lang-Lindsey K, Riddley C, Pettway T. Improving Health Outcomes for African American Men with Kidney Disease: A Patient-Centered Approach to Cultural Competence. Social Work in Public Health. 2024 Oct 2;39(7):704-20.
- 12. Lemley DE. The Internal Morality of Medicine: A Matter of Faith. InToo Conscientious: The Evolution of Ethical Challenges to Professionalism in the American Medical Marketplace 2022 Feb 26 (pp. 107-123). Cham: Springer International Publishing.